## \* Coastal Climate Change & Adaptation: PART II - Evaluation/Exercises Dan Lane, IOI-Canada,







Co-Director - C-Change (Canada)

Professor Emeritus, Telfer School of Management, University of Ottawa

Professeur associé, Université Sainte-Anne





Presentation to the 4th China- ASEAN Academy on Oceans Law & Governance, NISCSS Haikou, Hainan

PART II - Afternoon, November 13, 2018

4th China-ASEAN Academy on Oceans Law & Governance

1

## \*Coastal Climate Change & Adaptation - Outline

PART I - Afternoon

- 1. Introduction
- 2. Challenges for the 21<sup>st</sup> Century Coastal Zones
- 3. Understanding Adaptation Needs Profiling
- 4. Pillars of Sustainability Reflecting Importance\*\*
  PART II
- **5.** Assessing Vulnerabilities
- 6. Estimating Coastal Impacts
- 7. Adaptation Problem Solving and Strategy Options
- 8. Evaluating Decisions\*\*
- 9. Climate Change Governance

\*\*Class Assignment

# \*5. Assessing Vulnerabilities

	Name	Dates active	Sustain wind speeds	Pressure (hPa)	Areas affected	Damage (USD)	Deaths
Source: Wikipedia	Maria (Gardo)	July 3 – 12	195 km/h (120 mph)	915	Mariana Islands, Ryukyu Islands, Taiwan, <u>E China</u>	\$490 m	2
	Jongdari	July 23 – August 4	140 km/h (85 mph)	960	Japan, East China	>\$1.46 b	0
	Mangkhut (Ompong)	September 6 – 17	205 km/h (125 mph)	905	Marshall Islands, Mariana Islands, Taiwan, Philippines, Hong Kong, Macau, South China, Vietnam	>\$2.52 b	>134
	Kong-rey (Queenie)	September 28 – October 6	195 km/h (120 mph)	915	Caroline Islands, Mariana Islands, Japan, Taiwan, Korean Peninsula, Alaska	\$155 m	3
	Yutu <u>(Rosita)</u>	October 21 – November 3	215 km/h (130 mph)	905	Caroline Islands, Mariana Islands, Philippines, South China, Taiwan	\$7.5 m	18

## \* Isle Madame Asset (Pakdel 2011)





Asset at risk value

Actual damage value

## \*Isle Madame Storms Review



## 2. Assessing Vulnerability Premium Crab plant -Jan 2, 2010 "No Name" storm

Source: <u>www.coastalchange.ca</u> Gallery



## \* Hoi An flooding - Typhoon Damrey

\*Vietnam's death toll from Typhoon Damrey rises to 61, with heavy damage to more than 80,000 homes and roads. Hoi An is one of the cities seriously affected, but authorities say the coming Asia Pacific Economic Cooperation (APEC) summit of the region's leaders will not be disrupted.

\*Video:

https://www.scmp.com/video/asia/2118740/vietn am s-historic-hoi-flooded-typhoon-death-toll-rises (South China Morning Post, Nov 2017)

## \*Hoi An, Vietnam Flood Map



## \*6. Estimating Coastal Impacts

#### Isle Madame Total Estimated Damage Costs for Storm Scenarios I-VI





#### Isle Madame Vulnerability Report

**Report Prepared by:** 

Aleasha (Boudreau) David, Recherchiste, Centre de recherche marine and Michelle Thériault, Coordinatrice, Centre de recherche marine



**1BART II-PM**, November 13, 2018



- 1) What are the impacts of Typhoon Damprey on Hoi An?
- 1) How can the costs of the impacts be determined?

1) How can the impacts of future typhoons be avoided or lessened?

## Adaptation Strategy Options (Pilkey & Young 2009)







#### 1. Protect

Hard armouring (sea walls, groins) Soft armouring (mangroves, wetlands)

- No changes to buildings or use
- Costly Requires expert design, needs periodic maintenance and upgrading

#### 2. Accommodate

Continued use of lands / structures, with some changes

- Low costs / Low regrets
- No costs / No regrets (mangroves)

#### 3. Retreat

Accept flooding and damage will occur

- Protect/accommodate not feasible
- Change uses, move structures

## 4. Do Nothing

# Strategic Systems Simulation

ODevelopment of specific adaptation strategies

- Protect, Accommodate, Retreat, Status Quo (Do Nothing)
- OApplication of Static and/or System Dynamics model
- OPillars of Sustainability/Community Preference
  - Environmental, Economic, Social & Cultural
- Adaptation strategy evaluation indicators
   Vulnerability, Resilience, Adaptive Capacity

## \*7. Adaptation Problem Solving and Strategy Options



## \*City of Charlottetown



## \*Hoi An, Vietnam Flood Map



### \* Attributed Land Value Assets

Land Use	Space (acres)	Land Value (\$M/acre)	Description/Source
Residential	(2012) 3,225	\$2.855	Housing - average discounted selling value/acre for January 2016 Multiple Listing Service (MLS)
			2012 Charlottetown average aggregate valuation; Royal LePage (2016)
Commercial	2,680	\$3.484	Commercial property - average discounted selling value (to 2012) for January 2016 Multiple Listing Service (MLS) Ottawa listings for Business and Retail properties prorated to 2012 Charlottetown average aggregate valuation ; Royal LePage (2016)
Industrial	1,239	\$4.149	Industrial property - average discounted selling value (to 2012) for January 2016 Multiple Listing Service (MLS) Ottawa listings for Industrial and Office properties prorated to 2012 Charlottetown average aggregate valuation ; Royal LePage (2016)
Green space	472	\$1.500	Estimated value of city park lands, sport fields, trails, open recreation space (Charlottetown 2007)
Public works	2,011	\$3.000	Estimated value of infrastructure for water, electrical power, and sewage/water treatment, roadways, bridges, maintenance (Charlottetown 2007, 2010)
Cultural & Social	1,326	\$2.000	Estimated value of lands for schools, hospitals, community centres, libraries, arenas (Charlottetown 2007)

## \* Annual Storm Levels, MOWL

Storm	Description	Application^	IPCC Analogy*
Severity			
I. Low	Modal MOWLs signal storms that result in	$\alpha = 2.0$ and	RCP 2.6 – GHG
(Base	minimal damage to property and	$\beta = 0.303$	emissions peak
Case)	infrastructure. This is the assumed storm	Max MOWL	2010-2020 then
	definition for the Base Case scenario	< 4.0m	decline substantially
II.	Modal MOWLs consistent with the historical	$\alpha = 3.0$ and	RCP 4.5 – GHG
Historical	data values for 1911-2005 and signal storms	$\beta = 0.303$	emissions peak by
	that result in occasional appreciable damage	Max MOWL	2040 then decline
	to property and infrastructure.	< 4.5m	
III.	Modal MOWLs signal storms consistent with	$\alpha = 3.5$ and	RCP 6.0 – GHG
Medium	the increasing historical trend since the	$\beta = 0.303$	emissions peak by
	beginning of the 21 <sup>st</sup> century and result in	Max MOWL	2080 then decline
	considerable damage to property and	<5.0m	
	infrastructure.		
IV. High	Modal MOWLs signal storms predicted with	$\alpha = 4.0$ and	RCP 8.5 – GHG
	high certainty into the 21 <sup>st</sup> century and result	$\beta = 0.303$	emissions continue
	in significant damage to property and	Max MOWL	to rise throughout
	infrastructure.	< 5.5m	21 <sup>st</sup> century

# \*System Dynamics View - STE



# \*Profile SD Results



# \*Controls

Adaptation	Description	Application:
Strategy, A <sub>i</sub>		City of Charlottetown, P.E.I.
1) Protect	Physical coastlines reinforcement; 'hard'	-Construct 3.75m sea walls
	engineering - seawalls, breakwaters,	-Labor skills adjustment
	gabions and groins; 'soft' engineering -	(professional skills
	grading coastal cliffs, planting or	enhancement)
	maintaining existing vegetation	-Public service increase in cost
	(Ollerhead, 2006)	of \$100m investment over 5 yrs
2)	Construction of structures to reduce	-Labor skills adjustment for
Accommo-	storm damage (e.g., elevated houses),	structures
date	improve land-use, zoning plans to restrict	-Attributed land as Public
	permission of coastal constructions;	Works
	legislation and increasing natural	-Public service increase in cost
	resilience by rehabilitating coastal dunes	of \$50m investment over 5 yrs
	and wetlands (Pilkey and Young, 2009)	
3) Retreat	Abandon areas closest to the coastline,	Adjustment to work skills
	place temporary or dispensable structures	
	only in these areas; avoid direct impact	Attributed increase in land to
	from storms; land swapping, or	Greenspace
	management strategies such as rezoning,	
	insurance denial, or tax policies (Shaw et	Public service increase in cost
	al., 2002; Natural Resources Canada,	\$75m investment over 5 years
	2010)	
4)	Toleration of all storm damages without	No adaptation strategy
Status Quo	attempting to mitigate storm impacts;	(Do nothing/Status Quo)
(Do	arguably most commonly adopted	
Nothing)	strategy (McCulloch et al., 2002)	

## \* Simulation Scenarios

No.	Scenario Name	Controllable Variables - Adaptation Strategies for Charlottetown	Uncontrollable Variables - IPCC Analogy/Storm Severity for Charlottetown
RO	Base Case/ Benchmark	No adaptation strategy (Do nothing/Status Quo)	Low severity storms, IPCC, RCP 2.6: 2.0
R1	Worst Case	No adaptation strategy (Do nothing/Status Quo)	High severity storms, IPCC, RCP 8.5: 4.0
R2	Protect-Worst Case Storms	Protect with 3.75m seawalls Labor skills adjustment for sea walls construction (professional) \$100m investment in 5yrs	High severity storms, IPCC, RCP 8.5: 4.0 and Strategy modification: IF MOWL<3.75m then 'No Impacts' ELSE 'Impacts'
R3	Accommodate - Worst Case Storms	Labor skills adjustment Attributed land as Public Works Public service increase cost \$50m investment in 5 years	High severity storms, IPCC, RCP 8.5: 4.0 and Strategy modification: New MOWL = .75 Original MOWL
R4	Retreat - Worst Case Storms	Adjustment to work skills Public service increase cost Increase in Greenspace \$75m investment in 5 years	High severity storms, IPCC, RCP 8.5: 4.0
R5 41	Accommodate - Historical Storms	Labor skills adjustment Attributed land as Public Works Public service increase	Historical severity storms, IPCC, RCP 4.5: 3.0 and Strategy modification: New MOWL = 75 Original MOWL

٢.

# \*8. Evaluating Decisions

## **Evaluation of Strategy Alternatives**

#### \*Methods:

\* Static analysis - AHP application, multiple participants (SEPS paper - Camare & Lane 2015)

\* Dynamic analysis - SD model over strategic planning period (50 years) - Lane et al 2017, 2018

\*Indicators:

\* Vulnerability - expected storm damage estimates by sustainability pillar

- \* Resilience function of adaptation strategy as reduction of 'no action' vulnerability
- \* Adaptive Capacity resilience (reduced vulnerability) as a proportion of total vulnerability

## \*Vulnerability Gap with Strategy Lane et al 2018

Community Asset Status



### \* Storm Simulation Results: Vulnerability & Resilience



and Dacilianca Ceanaria D7: Dratact Lich Ctarme



## \* Evaluating Weighted Assets by Profile Priorities

\*Different communities/nations have different priorities re the Pillars of Sustainability

- \*Requires weighting the asset results corresponding to each adaptation scenario
- \*Consider analysis of the Charlottetown problem weighted by the participants of the China-ASEAN Academy
- \*Note similarities and differences among weights and preferred adaptation strategy options

## \* No Weights- Annualized Strategy Evaluation



## \* No Weights- Annualized Strategy Evaluation

Unweighted Evaluation of Strategy Options by Pillar: The Case of Hoi An Flooding



# \*Participants' Exercise - Decision Evaluation

### \* Annualized Strategy Evaluation table form with weights

	Sustainability	/ Pillar Nor	malized	Weights		Sustainabilit	y Pillar Idea	lized We	ights
National									Cultura
Group	<b>Environmental</b>	Economic	Social	Cultural	Total	<b>Environmental</b>	Economic	Social	
China-									
ASEAN	0.28378	0.29357	0.22073	0.20191	1.0	0.96665	1.00000	0.75188	0.68777
Brunei	0.28378	0.29357	0.22073	0.20191	1.0	0.96665	1.00000	0.75188	0.68777
Indonesia	0.33694	0.24815	0.18384	0.23107	1.0	1.00000	0.73647	0.54560	0.68580
Malaysia	0.33502	0.30030	0.20727	0.15740	1.0	1.00000	0.89637	0.61869	0.46983
Singapore	0.27872	0.37927	0.20733	0.13468	1.0	0.73489	1.00000	0.54665	0.35509
The									
Philippine									
S	0.29468	0.29088	0.19630	0.21814	1.0	1.00000	0.98711	0.66615	0.74027
Cambodia	0.27624	0.32720	0.19416	0.20240	1.0	0.84425	1.00000	0.59339	0.61857
Laos	0.21579	0.46498	0.21032	0.10890	1.0	0.46409	1.00000	0.45231	0.23421
Myanmar	0.23058	0.36069	0.20292	0.20581	1.0	0.63928	1.00000	0.56261	0.57060
Thailand	0.30455	0.39251	0.17029	0.13265	1.0	0.77592	1.00000	0.43385	0.33795
Vietnam	0.27112	0.30286	0.22902	0.19700	1.0	0.89522	1.00000	0.75620	0.65048
China	0.28349	0.24957	0.23532	0.23162	1.0	1.00000	0.88034	0.83009	0.81702

Hoi An Flood Adaptation Strategy Asset Valuations Present Value of Annualized Assets (50 yr simulation, \$USD)

		Sustainabi	lity Pillar A	Total (Unweighted) Assets		
	Adaptation		-			
	Strategies	Environmental	Economic	Social	Cultural	(Millions\$USD2010)
	No Storms/No					
0	Adaptation	\$27.40	\$33.25	\$8.40	\$10.33	\$79.38
1	No Adaptation	\$18.30	\$25.40	\$7.47	\$9.41	\$60.58
2	Protect	\$24.10	\$32.00	\$9.00	\$11.30	\$76.40
3	Accommodate	\$23.95	\$31.75	\$8.65	\$12.52	\$76.87
4	Retreat	\$19.60	\$27.50	\$8.00	\$12.55	\$67.65

### \* Decision form - to be completed by selected nation

#### (0) No Storms/No Adaptation

	Weighted Results	Sustainabilit	y Pillar We	ighted As	set Valuation	Total (Weighted) Assets (Millions\$USD20		
	National Group	Environmental	Economic	Social	Cultural	10)		
	China-ASEAN	\$26.49	\$33.25	\$6.32	\$7.10	\$73.16		
(1) No Ao	daptation							
						(Millions\$USD20		
	National Group	Environmental	Economic	Social	Cultural	10)		
	China-ASEAN	\$17.69	\$25.40	\$5.62	\$6.47	\$55.18		
2) Prote	ect							
-						(Millions\$USD20		
	National Group	Environmental	Economic	Social	Cultural	10)		
	China-ASEAN	\$23.30	\$32.00	\$6.77	\$7.77	\$69.84		
	mmodate							
	innouate					(Millions\$USD20		
	National Group	Environmental	Economic	Social	Cultural	10)		
	China-ASEAN	\$23.15	\$31.75	\$6.50	\$8.61	\$70.02		
4) Retre	eat							
,						(Millions\$USD20		
,	National Group	Environmental	Economic	Social	Cultural	(Millions\$USD20 10)		
,	National Group China-ASEAN	Environmental	<b>Economic</b> \$27.50	<b>Social</b> \$6.02	<b>Cultural</b> \$8.63	(Millions\$USD20 10) \$61.09		
,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	National Group China-ASEAN	Environmental	<b>Economic</b> \$27.50	<b>Social</b> \$6.02	Cultural \$8.63	(Millions\$USD20 10) \$61.09		
,	National Group China-ASEAN Weighted Results	Environmental	<b>Economic</b> \$27.50	<b>Social</b> \$6.02	Cultural \$8.63	(Millions\$USD20 10) \$61.09		
	National Group China-ASEAN Weighted Results	Environmental \$18.95	Economic \$27.50 No	<b>Social</b> \$6.02	Cultural \$8.63	(Millions\$USD20 10) \$61.09		
	National Group China-ASEAN Weighted Results National Group	Environmental \$18.95	Economic \$27.50 No Adaptation	Social \$6.02	Cultural \$8.63	(Millions\$USD20 10) \$61.09 Retreat	Preferred	Preferred
	National Group China-ASEAN Weighted Results National Group	Environmental \$18.95 No Storms/ No	Economic \$27.50 No Adaptation	Social \$6.02	Cultural \$8.63	(Millions\$USD20 10) \$61.09 Retreat	Preferred Assets	Preferred
	National Group China-ASEAN Weighted Results National Group	Environmental \$18.95 No Storms/ No Adaptation	Economic \$27.50 No Adaptation	Social \$6.02	Cultural \$8.63	(Millions\$USD20 10) \$61.09 Retreat	Preferred Assets Value	Preferred Decision
	National Group China-ASEAN Weighted Results National Group China-ASEAN	Environmental \$18.95 No Storms/ No Adaptation \$73.16	Economic \$27.50 No Adaptation \$55.18	Social \$6.02 Protect \$69.84	Cultural \$8.63	(Millions\$USD20 10) \$61.09 Retreat \$61.09	Preferred Assets Value \$70.02	Preferred Decision Accommoda

## \* Weighted Nationals Evaluation

#### Weighted Asset Evaluations by Nation for Selected Adaptation Strategies



#### C-Change Little Anse Breakwater Workshop, May 1, 2014 Chung (2014)

Operation Breakwater: Tabletop Exercise for the Municipality of the County of Richmond Emergency Operations Centre

The Case of Little Anse Breakwater Failure

Alexander Q.H. Chung Telfer School of Management University of Ottawa

©Alexander Q.H. Chung 2014





	Dimension	Attribute	Charlottetown	Isle Madame	Gibsons	lqaluit		
	(1) Plans, Local Governance &	Preparedness Planning (0.60)	0.734	0.464	0.339	0.339		
		Local Governance (0.20)	0.750	0.450	0.450	0.450		
	Social Services (0.129)	Social Services (0.20)	0.200	0.800	0.800	0.000		
Community	(2) Training Education &	Capacity Building (0.50)	0.500	0.500	0.250	0.375		
Prepared- ness	Community Awareness (0.259)	Public Awareness (0.50)	0.467	0.305	0.263	0.473		
Index	<ul> <li>(3)</li> <li>Resources &amp; Emergency</li> <li>Services (0.195)</li> <li>(4)</li> <li>Communication &amp;</li> <li>Collaboration (0.195)</li> </ul>	Incident Command Sys. (0.333)	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000		
		Resources (0.333)	0.567	0.279	0.279	0.246		
Chung,		Emergency Operations (0.333)	0.334	0.334	0.334	0.334		
Mercer Clarke and		Early Warning & Public Information (0.666)	0.647	0.500	0.433	0.373		
Lane (in progress)		Community Collaborative Networking (0.333)	0.600	0.800	0.500	0.700		
	(5)	Data Collection & Management (0.20)	0.333	0.111	0.167	0.056		
	Monitoring & Forecasting (0.221)	Hazard & Vulnerability Analysis (0.40)	0.820	0.489	0.410	0.302		
		Environmental Forecasting (0.40)	0.778	0.389	0.389	0.611		
	Aggregate Preparedness & Response	Index Value	0.609	0.477	0.396	0.427		
	4th China-ASEAN Academy on							

Oceans Law & Governance

# \* Conclusions toward improved community resilience:

\*Enable community collaboration

\* Designing 'community neighborhoods'; cell phones to inform community members or their neighbors' status and needs Lu(2013)

#### \* Encourage social networking activities

- \* increasing access and basic training of community members in electronic and other social networking and communication activities (e.g., Facebook, Twitter)
- \* Support wellness, recreational lifestyle activities (Anielski, 2009)
- \* Develop community logistics for emergency events Liu(2014)
- \* Emergency preparedness workshops Chung(2014)
  - \* Table Top exercise to inform local residents of the available emergency procedures and support, engage volunteer contributions and participation of community members

\* Disseminate preparedness to local schools to inform families

# \*9. Climate Change Governance

4th China-ASEAN Academy on Oceans Law & Governance

41

# \*Climate Change Management

### \*Global Governance

- \*UNFCCC, IPCC, COP21 (Paris), COP22 (Marrakech), COP23 (Bonn), COP24 (Katowice) - Dec 2018
- \*Sendai Framework on SIDR
- \*International Protocols

### \*Canadian Initiatives

### \*Community Participation and Response

4th China-ASEAN Academy on Oceans Law & Governance

4

PART II-PM, November 13, 2018

# \*COP 24 - Katowice, Poland

\* Dates: December 2-14, 2018

- \* Re the latest special report Global Warming of 1.5C of the IPCC without rapid climate action by all, temperature increases would be difficult to keep in check.
- \* Success at COP24 means finalizing the Paris Agreement Work Program
- \* Agreeing to the implementation guidelines at COP24 was essential and finalizing the implementation guidelines was critical to maintaining the credibility of the process.
- \* The full implementation of the Paris Agreement means that practical actions will be unlocked with respect to all elements of the climate regime that countries are building:
  - adaptation to climate change impacts
  - ambitious emission reductions,
  - with strong means of implementation to support developing countries, in the form of technology cooperation, capacity building, and, especially financial support.

## \*Climate Change in the Pacific



#### 2nd SYMPOSIUM



2nd Symposium on Climate Change in the Pacific Region (Pacific Adapt 2019) Lautoka, Fiji, 21st-22nd August 2019

International Climate Change Information Programme (ICCIRP)

organised in cooperation with the University of the South Pacific, the University of Fiji, the National University of Fiji and various partners from across the Pacific Region

The main aim of the event is to contribute towards the documentation and dissemination of climate change initiatives in the Pacific Region, which may lead to a greater resilience, and contribute to an increased adaptation capacity.

44



# \*Local Community Response

- \*Resource needs
- \*Bottom up

### \*Community participation recycling, reusing, good practices \*'Teach the children well'!

## **Questions/Discussions**

# IOI-CANADA

#### **Canadian Operational Centre of the International Ocean Institute**

